



CRRT MODALITIES

Thomas RIMMELE – MD PhD

Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine

Edouard Herriot Hospital

LYON, FRANCE

thomas.rimmele@chu-lyon.fr



Conflicts of Interest

Scientific partnership with the following companies:

- Baxter
- BBraun
- Biomérieux
- Estor/Toray
- Exthera
- Fresenius Medical Care
- Infomed
- Medtronic
- Nikkiso



Introduction (1): Pay attention to use correct abbreviations!

- CRRT = Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy
- IHD = Intermittent HemoDialysis

- CVVH = Continuous Veno Venous Hemofiltration
- CVVHD = Continuous Veno Venous HemoDialysis
- CVVHDF = Continuous Veno Venous HemoDiaFiltration

(CRRT does not always mean CVVH!)



Introduction (2): Pay attention to not oversimplify!





Hydraulic permeability of the membrane

- <u>CVVH</u>: requires a RRT membrane with high hydraulic permeability (ultrafiltration coefficient $K_{UF} > 20$ ml/h/mmHg/m²) in order to obtain a significant UF flow rate without an elevated TMP

 $Q_{UF} = K_{UF} \times TMP$

- <u>CVVHD</u>: theoretically perfectly compatible with membranes with low hydraulic permeability $(K_{UF} < 5 \text{ ml/h/mmHg/m}^2)$

if CVVHD with high-flux membrane — Internal filtration / Backfiltration



Internal filtration/backfiltration in CVVHD with high-flux filter



Internal filtration allows for additional internal convection, useful for the removal of middle molecular weight solutes

This means that modality and filter are not independent



Plus keep in mind that Internal filtration/Backfiltration is also determined by the blood flow

Blood Purif 1991;9:74-84

© 1991 S. Karger AG, Basel 0253-5068/91/0092-0074\$2.75/0

Measurement of Backfiltration Rates during Hemodialysis with Highly Permeable Membranes

John K. Leypoldt, Bärbel Schmidt, Hans J. Gurland Nephrology Department, Klinikum Grosshadern, University of Muni



Leypoldt et al. Blood Purif 1991

In 2019:

HEMODIALYSIS	HEMOFILTRATION
Intermittent or Continuous	Continuous (or intermittent)
Diffusion +/- internal convection	Convection
Small solutes +/- middle molecules	Small and middle molecules



Which modality for my RRT sessions?







Goals	IMPACTED BY RRT MODALITY?
1) Hemodynamic stability	
2) Significant filter lifespan	
 3) Optimization of the molecular clearances over time = stability of membrane performance over time 	





IHD vs CRRT: a 20-yr controversy



IHD



CRRT



- Avoids risks associated with continuous anticoagulation
- (Easily deployed at the bedside)
- Allows the patient to achieve greater mobility
- Cheaper "per se"

- Greater hemodynamic stability
- Continuous control of volume status
- Steady control of electrolyte and acid-base status
- Temperature control
- Avoidance of solute swings and cerebral edema
- Superior uremic control



RRT modality (IHD vs CRRT)



- 5.4.6: We suggest not using antibiotic locks for prevention of catheter-related infections of nontunneled dialysis catheters in AKI requiring RRT. (2C)
- 5.5.1: We suggest to use dialyzers with a biocompatible membrane for IHD and CRRT in patients with AKI. (2C)
- 5.6.1: Use continuous and intermittent RRT as complementary therapies in AKI patients. (Not Graded)
- 5.6.2: We suggest using CRRT, rather than standard intermittent RRT, for hemodynamically unstable patients. (2B)
- 5.6.3: We suggest using CRRT, rather than intermittent RRT, for AKI patients with acute brain injury or other causes of increased intracranial pressure or generalized brain edema. (2B)
- 5.7.1: We suggest using bicarbonate, rather than lactate, as a buffer in dialysate and replacement fluid for RRT in patients with AKI. (2C)
- 5.7.2: We recommend using bicarbonate, rather than lactate, as a buffer in dialysate and replacement fluid for RRT in patients with AKI and circulatory shock. (1B)
- 5.7.3: We suggest using bicarbonate, rather than lactate, as a buffer in dialysate and replacement fluid for RRT in

CRRT vs IHD and mortality

	Hemofiltr		Hemodia	-		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events		Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Similar Dose Fil	tration vs Di	ialysis					
Daud 2006 [25]	7	9	10	11	14.7%	0.86 [0.58, 1.27]	
Morgera 2004 [24]	6	12	6	12	6.1%	1.00 [0.45, 2.23]	
OMAKI 2012 [30]	22	39	20	38	14.3%	1.07 [0.71, 1.61]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		60		61	35.1%	0.96 [0.73, 1.25]	-
Total events	35		36				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =			f= 2 (P = 1	0.74); I²÷	= 0%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.30 (P =	= 0.76)					
1.1.2 Similar Dose Fil	tration vs Di	ialysis-l	iltration				
Chang 2009 [27]	26	47	26	49	15.7%	1.04 [0.72, 1.51]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		47		49	15.7%	1.04 [0.72, 1.51]	-
Total events	26		26				
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.22 (P =	= 0.82)					
4 4 2 Eimiler Dese //n	tormittont)	Diahusia	Filtration	un Diak	unio		
1.1.3 Similar Dose (In		-					
Pettila 2001 [23]	12	21	4	17	4.8%	2.43 [0.95, 6.18]	
Ratanarat 2012 [29] Subtotal (95% CI)	10	27 48	18	33 50	9.6% 14.4%	0.68 [0.38, 1.22] 1.22 [0.35, 4.22]	
Total events	22	40	22	50	14.470	1.22 [0.33, 4.22]	
	and a second second second second	E 1 E d	and the state of the	0.001.18.	- 010		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:			I=1 (P=)	0.02), F	= 81%		
rest for overall effect.	Z = 0.31 (P -	= 0.76)					
1.1.4 Filtration vs Higl	ner-Dose Da	ailysis-F	iltration				
Davenport 1993 [21]	7	8	9	11	15.2%	1.07 [0.73, 1.57]	
Saudan 2006 [26]	67	102	43	104	19.6%	1.59 [1.21, 2.08]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		110		115	34.8%	1.34 [0.91, 1.96]	-
Total events	74		52				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =			f=1 (P=)	0.10); I²:	= 64%		
Test for overall effect:	Z=1.47 (P=	= 0.14)					
Total (95% CI)		265		275	100.0%	1.10 [0.88, 1.38]	+
Total events	157		136				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.05; Chi ² =	13.96,	df = 7 (P =	0.05); P	²= 50%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.07 (F ·	- 0.30)					Favours Hemofiltration Favours Hemodialysis

Friedrich et al. Crit Care 2012

Max Bell SWING Fredrik Granath Staffan Schön Anders Ekbom Claes-Roland Martling Continuous renal replacement therapy is associated with less chronic renal failure than intermittent haemodialysis after acute renal failure

Intensive Care Med (2007) 33:773-780

Renal failure among patients surviving 90 days:



^a OR, crude

^b OR, adjusted for age, sex, diabetes or heart failure before admission and calendar year

^c OR, adjusted for age, sex, diabetes or heart failure before admission, calendar year, hospital type and main diagnosis at ICU

Bell et al. Intensive Care Med 2007

The Association Between Renal Replacement Therapy Modality and Long-Term Outcomes Among Critically III Adults With Acute Kidney Injury: A Retrospective Cohort Study



Cumulative risk of chronic dialysis among critically ill patients with AKI surviving to 90 days after commencement of RRT who were initially treated with CRRT vs IHD:



Outcomes for patients with AKI surviving to 90 days, initiated on CRRT vs IHD:

	CRRT (<i>n</i> = 2,004)		ІН	D (<i>n</i> = 2,004)			
Outcome	n (%)	Incidence Rate per 100 Person-Years	n (%)	Incidence Rate per 100 Person-Years	Hazard Ratio (95% CI) for CRRT vs IHD	p	
Chronic dialysis	435 (22)	6.5	533 (27)	8.2	0.75 (0.65–0.87)	< 0.0001	
Death	883 (44)	11.2	905 (45)	11.4	1.02 (0.91–1.14)	0.73	

Wald R et al. Crit Care Med 2014

Multivariate analysis: 1 million ICU patients over 4 years



Bonnassieux et al. Crit Care Med 2017



RESEARCH



Open Access

Stucker et al. Critical Care (2015) 19:91 DOI 10.1186/s13054-015-0822-z

RESEARCH



Open Access

Citrate anticoagulation versus systemic heparinisation in continuous venovenous hemofiltration in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: a multi-center randomized clinical trial

Louise Schilder^{1*}, S Azam Nurmohamed¹, Frank H Bosch², Ilse M Purme³, Sylvia S den Boer⁴, Cynthia G Kleppe⁵, Marc G Vervloet¹, Albertus Beishuizen⁶, Armand RJ Girbes⁶, Pieter M ter Wee¹, AB Johan Groeneveld² and for the CASH study group

Efficacy and safety of citrate-based anticoagulation compared to heparin in patients with acute kidney injury requiring continuous renal replacement therapy: a randomized controlled trial

Fabien Stucker¹⁺, Belen Ponte¹⁺, James Tataw¹, Pierre-Yves Martin¹, Hannah Wozniak², Jérome Pugin² and Patrick Saudan¹⁺

A Randomized Controlled Trial of Regional Citrate Versus Regional Heparin Anticoagulation for Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy in Critically III Adults*

David J. Gattas, MD, MMed (ClinEpi), FCICM, FRACP^{1,2}; Dorrilyn Rajbhandari, RN Post Grad Dip (Clinical Nursing)^{1,2}; Celia Bradford, MD, FCICM³; Heidi Buhr, RN, MClinTPrac¹; Serigne Lo, PhD, AStat²; Rinaldo Bellomo, MBBS, MD (Hons), FRACP, FCICM, PG Dip Echo^{4,5}

<u>Citrate =</u>

- Less bleeding complications
- Higher filter life time
- Less unwanted stops = optimized administered dialysis dose

Schilder et al. Critical Care 2014 Stucker et al. Critical Care 2015 Gattas et al. Crit Care Med 2015



Anticoagulation and KDIGO guidelines

Chapter 5.3: Anticoagulation



- 5.3.2.2: For anticoagulation in CRRT, we suggest using regional citrate anticoagulation rather than heparin in patients who do not have contraindications for citrate. (2B)
- 5.3.2.3: For anticoagulation during CRRT in patients who have contraindications for citrate, we suggest using either unfractionated or low-molecular-weight heparin, rather than other anticoagulants. (2C)

Any issue(s) with citrate?



Risk of metabolic complications



Strong Ion Difference

$SID = (Na^{+} + K^{+} + Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + UA^{+}) - (Cl^{-} + UA^{-})$

- Metabolic alkalosis
- Metabolic acidosis
- Hypernatremia
- Hypocalcemia
- Hypercalcemia
- Hypomagnesemia



What is the best way to avoid these metabolic complications?



= How should I proceed to give to the patient as little citrate as possible?



Citrate anticoagulation is now safe because pumps work together!











С







FILTRATION FRACTION



Courtesy of Pr. Didier Journois

FILTRATION FRACTION



Optimal FF = 20-25 %



Garzotto et al. Critical Care (2016) 20:196 DOI 10.1186/s13054-016-1355-9

Critical Care

RESEARCH

Open Access



The Dose Response Multicentre Investigation on Fluid Assessment (DoReMIFA) in critically ill patients

F. Garzotto^{1,2*}, M. Ostermann³, D. Martín-Langerwerf⁴, M. Sánchez-Sánchez⁵, J. Teng⁶, R. Robert⁷, A. Marinho⁸, M. E. Herrera-Gutierrez⁹, H. J. Mao¹⁰, D. Benavente¹¹, E. Kipnis¹², A. Lorenzin², D. Marcelli¹³, C. Tetta¹³, C. Ronco^{1,2} and for the DoReMIFA study group



Modality of RRT (all sessions), DoRéMiFa study





Courtesy of Marlies Ostermann



Type of anticoagulation for CRRT (DoRéMiFa study)



	CVVH	CVVHD	CVVHDF	Others
None	33%	11%	54%	2%
Heparin	20%	34%	42%	4%
Citrate	0%	99%	0%	1%

Courtesy of Marlies Ostermann



Citrate + CVVHD

- 1- Low Blood Flow = Small amount of citrate needed
- 2- CVVHD (Diffusion) = possibility to remove a large proportion of Ci-Ca complexes if necessary



Allow for a prudent use of citrate anticoagulation in patients in whom citrate would usually be contra-indicated (liver insufficiency, MOF, hyperlactatemia...)



Citrate + CVVHD + liver insufficiency

Schultheiß et al. Critical Care 2012, 16:R162 http://ccforum.com/content/16/4/R162

Slowinski et al. Critical Care (2015) 19:349 DOI 10.1186/s13054-015-1066-7

RESEARCH



CRITICAL CARE

Open Access

CrossMark

RESEARCH

Open Access

Continuous venovenous hemodialysis with regional citrate anticoagulation in patients with liver failure: a prospective observational study

Caroline Schultheiß^{1*}, Bernd Saugel¹, Veit Phillip¹, Philipp Thies¹, Sebastian Noe¹, Ulrich Mayr¹, Bernhard Haller², Henrik Einwächter¹, Roland M Schmid¹ and Wolfgang Huber¹



CrossMark

Journal of Critical Care 29 (2014) 265-271

Incidence and outcome of metabolic disarrangements consistent with citrate accumulation in critically ill patients undergoing continuous venovenous hemodialysis with regional citrate anticoagulation

Dmytro Khadzhynov, MD, Christin Schelter, Ina Lieker, MD, Alice Mika, Oliver Staeck, MD, Hans.-H. Neumayer, MD, Harm Peters, MD^{*}, Torsten Slowinski, MD

Department of Nephrology, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Charité Campus Mitte, Humboldt University Berlin, D-10117 Berlin, Germany



Safety and efficacy of regional citrate anticoagulation in continuous venovenous hemodialysis in the presence of liver failure: the Liver Citrate Anticoagulation Threshold (L-CAT) observational study

Torsten Slowinski^{1*}^(b), Stanislao Morgera¹, Michael Joannidis², Thomas Henneberg³, Reto Stocker⁴, Elin Helset⁵, Kirsti Andersson⁶, Markus Wehner⁷, Justyna Kozik-Jaromin⁸, Sarah Brett⁹, Julia Hasslacher², John F. Stover⁴, Harm Peters¹, Hans-H. Neumayer¹ and Detlef Kindgen-Milles⁹

Safety and Efficacy of Citrate Anticoagulation for Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy for Acute Kidney Injury After Liver Transplantation: A Single-Center Experience

N. Pertica^{a,*}, L. Cicciarella^a, A. Carraro^b, U. Montin^b, P. Violi^b, A. Lupo^a, and G. Zaza^a

^aRenal and Dialysis Unit, University Hospital of Verona, Verona, Italy; and ^bDepartment of General Surgery and Dentistry, Liver Transplant Unit, University Hospital of Verona, Verona, Italy

« Feasible » ; « safely used » ; « low incidence of disarrangements »



Decrease of membrane efficacy over time



Figure 1. Filter function (**Equation 6**) declined progressively over time. U_f refers to urea measured in ultrafiltrate, and U_s refers to urea measured in the blood.



Figure 3. Correlation between clearance reduction and transmembrane pressure is statistically significant with a Spearman's rho of 0.44 (p < 0.001).

Zhang et al. ASAIO J 2013



Hemoconcentration in CVVH leads to the formation of protein cake (polarization layer)







CVVH = CALCULATE the filtration fraction when you write the CRRT prescription!

Clogging / Clotting

Membrane clogging	Membrane clotting
= COLMATAGE	
 There is clogging when some blood components accumulate inside the pores of the membrane until they totally block the pores 	 There is clotting when clots are large enough to block the capillary fiber
 => Permeability is impaired 	 => Circulation is impaired



RRT modality



- 5.4.6: We suggest not using antibiotic locks for prevention of catheter-related infections of nontunneled dialysis catheters in AKI requiring RRT. (2C)
- 5.5.1: We suggest to use dialyzers with a biocompatible membrane for IHD and CRRT in patients with AKI. (2C)
- 5.6.1: Use continuous and intermittent RRT as complementary therapies in AKI patients. (Not Graded)
- 5.6.2: We suggest using CRRT, rather than standard intermittent RRT, for hemodynamically unstable patients. (2B)
- 5.6.3: We suggest using CRRT, rather than intermittent RRT, for AKI patients with acute brain injury or other causes of increased intracranial pressure or generalized brain edema. (2B)
- 5.7.1: We suggest using bicarbonate, rather than lactate, as a buffer in dialysate and replacement fluid for RRT in patients with AKI. (2C)
- 5.7.2: We recommend using bicarbonate, rather than lactate, as a buffer in dialysate and replacement fluid for RRT in patients with AKI and circulatory shock. (1B)
- 5.7.3: We suggest using bicarbonate, rather than lactate, as a buffer in dialysate and replacement fluid for RRT in

Nothing on CVVHD versus CVVH!

KDIGO guidelines. Kidney Int suppl 2012

RRT modalities: CONCLUSION

The model CVVH/convection/middle molecules versus CVVHD/Diffusion/Small molecules is way too simplistic

<u>1- Hemodynamic stability</u>

CRRT+++ The use of CRRT (as the initial modality in the ICU) seems to be associated with better recovery after severe AKI, potentially due to better hemodynamic stability

2- Anticoagulation and CRRT modalities

Citrate should be the first choice for CRRT anticoagulation in most cases CVVHD allows for an extension of indication thanks to a reduced blood flow rate

<u>3- Filter performance over time</u>

CVVH leads to protein cake. CVVH means filtration fraction to calculate and to pay attention to

